Application No. Applicant(s) 10/618,034 CHOQUETTE ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2828 ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ. (2) Steven P. Fallon. Date of Interview: 03 January 2006. Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 10,16,22 and 23. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. q) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Advised applicant's representive of claim language for independent claims 10, 16, 22 and 23 to better clearly define the vertical cavity. Applicant's representative suggested claim language and authorized inserting the claim language by examiner's amendment, see examiner's amendment for claim language. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required